Stimulated wave of polarization in spin chains
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Stimulated wave of polarization, triggered by a flip of a single spin, presents a simple model of quantum amplification. Previously, it has been found that such a wave can be excited in a one-dimensional Ising chain with nearest-neighbor interactions, irradiated by a weak resonant transverse field. Here we explore models with more realistic Hamiltonians, in particular, with natural dipole-dipole interactions. Results of simulations for one-dimensional spin chains and rings with up to nine spins are presented.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Recently, it has been demonstrated that in a one-dimensional (1D) Ising chain with nearest-neighbor interactions, irradiated by a weak resonant transverse field, a wave of flipped spins can be triggered by a single spin flip.1 This analytically solvable model illustrates a principle of quantum devices for amplifying signals from a single quantum object.

The idealized Hamiltonian (1) is difficult to realize in experimental systems. More realistic Hamiltonians may include interactions beyond the nearest neighbors and may not be limited to ZZ interactions. In this work we study how these factors affect generation of a stimulated polarization wave and demonstrate that the “quantum domino” dynamics can be implemented with realistic Hamiltonians, including the natural dipole-dipole interactions.

II. LONG-RANGE INTERACTIONS

Let us consider a linear chain or ring of N spins coupled by long-range dipolar interactions. First we will discuss the weak coupling limit,7 when the difference in precession frequencies of interacting spins exceeds the interaction strength and the interaction is truncated to ZZ interactions. It will also be assumed that all spins are simultaneously irradiated at their resonance frequencies. This slightly artificial model will be used to clarify the role of long-range interactions. Later we will consider a more realistic model with two sorts of spins under two-frequency irradiation. The truncated dipole-dipole Hamiltonian is

\[
H_{dd} = \sum_{m>n} D_{mn} F_m F_n,
\]

where \(D_{mn} = D_1/(m-n)^3\) for a linear chain, \(D_{mn} = D_1 \left( \sin \frac{\pi}{N} \right) / \sin \left( \frac{\pi}{N} (m-n) \right)\) for a ring, and \(D_1\) is the coupling strength between the nearest spins. When only interactions with M nearest neighbors are taken into account, the Hamiltonian in the rotating frame takes the form

\[
H = \omega_1 \sum_{m=1}^{N} F_m^z + \sum_{q=1}^{M} \sum_{m=1}^{N-q} F_m F_{m+q},
\]

which is the time-independent part of the Hamiltonian in the interaction frame (\(\omega_1 \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sigma_i^z \cos \omega_d t\) is treated as a perturbation).

The terms of the three-spin effective Hamiltonian of Eq. (2) have a simple interpretation: the spin-flipping operator \(\sigma_i^z\) for the spin \(i\) is “turned off” when its two neighbors are in the same state and \((1 - \langle \sigma_i^- \sigma_i^+ \rangle)\) is zero. The dynamics with the Hamiltonian (2) can be solved exactly for the two initial states: when all the spins are up (it is an eigenstate of the Hamiltonian (2) and, therefore, does not change) and when the first spin of the chain is flipped.

The terms of the three-spin effective Hamiltonian of Eq. (2) have a simple interpretation: the spin-flipping operator \(\sigma_i^z\) for the spin \(i\) is “turned off” when its two neighbors are in the same state and \((1 - \langle \sigma_i^- \sigma_i^+ \rangle)\) is zero. The dynamics with the Hamiltonian (2) can be solved exactly for the two initial states: when all the spins are up (it is an eigenstate of the Hamiltonian (2) and, therefore, does not change) and when the first spin of the chain is flipped.

The terms of the three-spin effective Hamiltonian of Eq. (2) have a simple interpretation: the spin-flipping operator \(\sigma_i^z\) for the spin \(i\) is “turned off” when its two neighbors are in the same state and \((1 - \langle \sigma_i^- \sigma_i^+ \rangle)\) is zero. The dynamics with the Hamiltonian (2) can be solved exactly for the two initial states: when all the spins are up (it is an eigenstate of the Hamiltonian (2) and, therefore, does not change) and when the first spin of the chain is flipped.

The terms of the three-spin effective Hamiltonian of Eq. (2) have a simple interpretation: the spin-flipping operator \(\sigma_i^z\) for the spin \(i\) is “turned off” when its two neighbors are in the same state and \((1 - \langle \sigma_i^- \sigma_i^+ \rangle)\) is zero. The dynamics with the Hamiltonian (2) can be solved exactly for the two initial states: when all the spins are up (it is an eigenstate of the Hamiltonian (2) and, therefore, does not change) and when the first spin of the chain is flipped.
effective Hamiltonian (5) is calculated in the same way as the one in Eq. (2) by selecting a time-independent part of the Hamiltonian in the interaction frame.\textsuperscript{1} For the ring the effective Hamiltonian is

\[
H_{\text{ring}}^{\text{eff}} = \frac{\alpha}{4} \sum_{k=1}^{N} I_0 I_k (1 - F_{k+1} F_{k-1}),
\]

where the cyclic conditions \( F_0 = F_N = F_{N+1} = 1 \) are assumed. We present the explicit forms of \( H_{\text{ring}}^{\text{eff}} \) only for the cases \( M = 1 \) and \( M = 2 \). At \( M = 1 \),

\[
H_{\text{ring}}^{\text{eff}} = D_1 (I_1 + I_2) + \frac{\alpha_1}{4} I_1^2 (1 - 2F_2^2) + \frac{\alpha_1}{4} I_N^2 (1 - F_{N-1}^2),
\]

and at \( M = 2 \),

\[
H_{\text{ring}}^{\text{eff}} = \frac{7D_1}{16} (I_1 + I_2) - \frac{D_1}{16} (I_2 + I_{N-1}) + \frac{\alpha_1}{4} I_1^2 (1 - 2F_2^3 + F_2^3 - F_3^3)
\]

\[- \frac{1}{8} I_3^2 (1 - 4F_2^3) (1 + 2F_2) + \frac{\alpha_1}{4} I_N^2 (1 - 2F_{N-2}^3 + F_3^3)
\]

\[+ I_{N-3}^3 - I_{N-2}^3) + \frac{\alpha_1}{8} I_{N-3}^2 (1 - 4F_{N-3}^3) (1 + 2F_{N-3}^3).\]

We used numerical simulations to calculate time dependences of the individual spin polarizations \( P_k(t) \) and total spin polarization \( \rho(0) = \otimes_{k=1}^{N} \rho_k(0) \), where \( \rho_k(0) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \) and \( \rho(N) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \).

The results for the seven-spin chain with the nearest-neighbor interactions \( (M = 1) \) are shown in Fig. 1(a). The spin dynamics is very close to the one obtained with the effective Hamiltonian (2) and is shown in Fig. 1(b).

At \( M > 1 \) the effective Hamiltonians (5), (6), and (8) do not describe propagation of the stimulated polarization wave, initiated by a flip of the first spin. The reason is that interaction with next neighbors spoils the resonance condition and, in the limit \( \alpha_1 \to 0 \), the transverse field cannot flip the spins. However, at intermediate values of \( \alpha_1 \) when the amplitude of the transverse field is smaller than interaction between the nearest neighbors but larger than interaction between next-nearest neighbors, the off-resonance effect is suppressed and the polarization wave can be launched. The results for \( \alpha_1 = 0.15D_1 \) and \( M = 2 \) are shown in Fig. 2(a). Inclusion of interactions with farther spins does not cause qualitative changes in the dynamics but makes the wave somewhat less pronounced. The results for all spin-coupled \( (M = N - 1) \) are shown in Fig. 2(b). As one can see, the wave experiences multiple reflections from the boundaries of the chain and, in fact, the second minimum of polarization is even deeper than the first one, a result of gradual saturation of the total spin system.

![FIG. 1. (a) Time dependences of the individual spin polarizations in a linear chain of seven spins calculated by using the Hamiltonian (4) with the nearest-neighbor interactions \( (M = 1) \), and \( \alpha_1 = 0.15D_1. \) (b) Time dependences of the individual polarizations in a linear chain of seven spins calculated by using the zero-order average Hamiltonian (2).](image1)

![FIG. 2. Time dependences of the individual spin polarizations in a linear chain of seven spins calculated by using the Hamiltonian (4) at \( \alpha_1 = 0.15D_1 \) for (a) \( M = 2 \) and (b) \( M = N - 1 \).](image2)
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nuclear spins at \(\omega_1=0.15D_1\). In an alternating spin chain ABAB..., when the difference of the resonance frequencies of spins A and B exceeds the
strength of interaction between them, \(XX\) and \(YY\) interactions can be suppressed. As a result, interaction between the nearest neighbors will be reduced to
\(ZZ\) terms, while the interactions with farther spins can be suppressed by choosing an intermediate value of \(\omega_1\), similar to the cases presented in Fig. 2. It is supposed that both types of spins are simultaneously irradiated at their resonance frequencies with the fields of the same amplitude \(\omega_1\). It is interesting to note that some spin-dynamic problems can be solved exactly for alternating rings, \(^8\) chains, \(^9\) and even three-periodic chains. \(^10\) Since at present the experimental tests are easier to perform with nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR), we made a simulation for the alternating chain of \(^1\)H and \(^19\)F nuclear spins with gyromagnetic ratios \(\gamma_{H}=42.58 \text{ MHz/T}\) and \(\gamma_{F}=40.08 \text{ MHz/T}\), respectively. The results are presented in Fig. 3(b). One can see that a good stimulated polarization wave is excited at \(\omega_1=0.15D_1\).

IV. SPIN RINGS

The major difference between rings and 1D chains is that in a ring the first flipped spin has two neighbors and it ini-
states two stimulated waves propagating symmetrically in both directions. The results of stimulation for a nine-spin ring are presented in Fig. 4. Figure 4(a) shows the dynamics of polarizations of individual spins with the Hamiltonian (4) at \(\omega_1=0.15D_1\). The results for the effective Hamiltonian (6) at \(M=1\) are displayed in Fig. 4(b). Compared to linear chains, when the first flipped spin is at one of the ends (Figs. 1 and 2), each of the two stimulated waves has decreased amplitude. In addition, a polarization of the initially flipped spin is not “frozen” but increases with time. However, both

FIG. 4. (a) Time dependences of the individual spin polarizations in a ring of nine spins calculated by using the Hamiltonian (4) at \(\omega_1=0.15D_1\). (b) Time dependences of the individual polarizations in a ring of nine spins calculated by using the effective Hamiltonian (6) at \(M=1\).

FIG. 5. (a) Time dependences of the total spin polarizations in seven-spin chains, with the effective Hamiltonian (2) (solid), and at \(\omega_1=0.15D_1\), for \(ZZ\) couplings with \(M=1\) (dash), \(M=2\) (dots), \(M=N-1\) (dash-dot), and for the alternating spin chain with dipole-dipole couplings (dash-dot-dot). (b) Time dependences of the total spin polarizations in seven-spin rings, with the effective Hamiltonian (6) at \(M=1\) (solid), and at \(\omega_1=0.15D_1\), for \(ZZ\) couplings with \(M=1\) (dashed), \(M=2\) (dots), \(M=N-1\) (dash-dot), and for the alternating spin chain with dipole-dipole couplings (dash-dot-dot).
of the models in Fig. 4 demonstrate dynamics with moderate amplification.

V. DISCUSSION

The most important feature of the spin dynamics described above is signal amplification, when a state of polarization of a single spin is converted into a total polarization of the spin system. Change in the total polarization/magnetization of a spin system quantifies the efficiency of this system as a quantum amplifier. The results for different models studied in this work are summarized in Fig. 5. Figures 5(a) and 5(b) show time dependences of the total polarization in seven-spin linear chains and in seven-spin rings, respectively. In all simulations, except for the effective Hamiltonians (2) and (6), the amplitude of the transverse resonant field was fixed at the value \( \omega_1 = 0.15D_1 \). Simulations showed that for the nearest-neighbor interactions, both linear and ring models demonstrated efficient amplification. When the long-range interactions are included, the performance depends on the amplitude of the resonant field \( \omega_1 \). With a proper choice of \( \omega_1 \), which should be smaller than the nearest-neighbor interactions but larger than the next-nearest interactions, it is possible to organize efficient amplification dynamics in 1D chains with long-range interactions. It is interesting that alternating chains of two spin species can work well even with full dipole-dipole couplings between spins. For small seven-spin rings, long-range couplings spoil the dynamics.

It is convenient to compare the performances of different models by using the coefficient of amplification,\(^1\) defined as the ratio of the maximum total polarization change in the dynamics, triggered by a single spin flip, to the direct change of polarization resulting from a single spin flip. The alternating dipolar chains show smaller coefficients of amplification than the ideal model\(^1\) with Ising interactions. As an example, for seven-spin chains the coefficients of amplification for these two models are, respectively, 4.65 and 5.45. Besides that, the coefficient of amplification for alternating chains grows slower than linear with the chain length: the ratio of the coefficients for nine- and seven-spin chains 5.07/4.65 \( \approx 1.09 \) is smaller than the lengths ratio 9/7 = 1.29. This may be an indication that with alternating dipolar chains, the amplification dynamics can be realized only in relatively short chains.

In conclusion, we have studied propagation of the polarization waves, triggered by a flip of a single spin, in one-dimensional spin chains and rings. It has been demonstrated that efficient amplification dynamics in short spin chains can be organized for natural dipole-dipole couplings when alternating chains of two spin species are used.
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